

briefly. This first segment is 5.5 million, put together with the second segment it would be 13. But it does, depending on the majority of the Appropriations Committee at least, reflect those public services provided by state government that are most essential. And I would urge that the appropriation be sustained notwithstanding the action of the Governor.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you. The question is the override of the Governor's veto on Section 1 in the amount of \$5,496,435. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Requires 30 votes. Have you all voted that care to? Record, Mr. Clerk, please. Now record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on pages 2282-83 of the Legislative Journal.) 37 ayes, 9 nays, 3 excused, Mr. President, on the motion to override that portion of the Governor's veto.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The agency operation portion is overridden. We'll go on to the second part of that which is the county aid, Medicare. The question is the overriding of the Governor's veto. Would you like to read something in first, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, if I might, just...I have a motion from Senator Chambers regarding LB 1129. That will be laid over. And your Enrolling Clerk has presented to the Governor bills read on Final Reading this morning. (See page 2283 of the Journal.)

Mr. President, Senator Warner, as Chair of the Appropriations Committee, would now move for that portion of the override relating to aid, specifically \$7,530,798. (Motion appears on page 2283 of the Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, please. Were you going to take that?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this particular one of 7.5 million, to repeat again, is in effect aside from the broad policy that we talked about on state operations. The concern that the Appropriations Committee, majority of the Appropriations Committee had, that while overall there was an equal balance of \$7 million of benefit to counties as a whole, and an equal 7 million reduction in their aid, based upon individual counties we find that 12 counties benefit from that new distribution at the expense of the other 81. Now I